#### VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

The Honorable Jon Wellinghoff, Chairman
The Honorable Philip D. Moeller, Commissioner
The Honorable John R. Norris, Commissioner
The Honorable Cheryl A. LaFleur, Commissioner
The Honorable Tony Clark, Commissioner
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20426

Re: <u>Docket No. RM13-18, Petition of WIRES for Statement of Policy and</u> June 2013 Edison Electric Institute Report on Transmission Rates of Return on Equity

#### Dear Chairman and Commissioners:

In June 2013, the Edison Electric Institute ("EEI") released a report entitled "Transmission Investment—Adequate Returns and Regulatory Certainty Are Key" ("EEI Report"). Subsequently, WIRES (the "Working group for Investment in Reliable and Economic Electric Systems") filed on June 26, 2013, a petition seeking to have the Commission issue a Statement of Policy on base return on equity ("ROE") allowances for transmission investment. Both EEI and WIRES argue that even though interest rates have declined substantially since the beginning of the recession in 2008, this Commission should not reduce the current ROE allowances for transmission investment included in the regulated rates that public utilities charge for transmission services. The public utility commissions, industrial electric customers, public power systems, rural electric cooperatives, state consumer advocates and associated trade associations, nonprofit consumer advocacy organizations, and environmental organizations signing this letter ("Joint Signatories") respond briefly below to these contentions, and in particular to certain of the points EEI makes in its Report.

Our primary message is simple—the Commission should continue to scrutinize public utilities' transmission rates to ensure that all elements, including ROE allowances, remain just and reasonable in light of changing economic conditions and factors, as the Federal Power Act<sup>2</sup> requires. The FPA allows public utilities to earn a return sufficient to attract capital from investors; it does not require the continued payment of ROE allowances that are unduly high given changed economic conditions, simply because at some point in the past, the Commission

1

The WIRES Petition (Docket No. RM13-18-000) asks the Commission, if it grants the Petition, to take "official notice" of the EEI Report. Petition at 12 & n.13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 16 U.S.C. §§ 791a-828c ("FPA").

found such an ROE just and reasonable under then-prevailing circumstances.<sup>3</sup> The Commission also should not abandon the Discounted Cash Flow ("DCF") methodology it now uses to determine ROE allowances just because it no longer produces the same ROE awards that it did prior to 2008. Economic conditions throughout the Nation (if not the world) have fundamentally changed since that time. In the past five years, electric consumers have suffered through decreased employment, shrinking paychecks, home foreclosures, and myriad other economic losses. Industrial consumers also have faced extraordinary economic challenges, including decreased demand and aggressive foreign competition. EEI's arguments that its members' high pre-2008 ROE allowances cannot be reduced without disappointing investors must be weighed against these hard facts.

Among the erroneous points made in the EEI Report are the following:

- EEI (Report at 2) sees "no link between record low interest rates and investors' expected return on transmission investment." This bald statement stretches credulity. Investors survey the variety of investments available to them, their relative risk and their associated potential returns. Because very low-risk interest-bearing investments are part of that array, investors will look at the associated interest rates for such investments and rank other investment options accordingly. Hence, if interest rates are low, then other investments will be more attractive at lower rates of return than if interest rates were higher. Many of today's high ROEs exist precisely because the Commission took account of past interest rates by applying its longstanding policy linking final updated ROEs to yields on 10-year treasuries. If interest rates and ROEs are linked when interest rates rise, then they are linked when interest rates fall.
- EEI claims (Report at 14) that "[e]lectric utilities do not compete just with other electric utilities for capital; they also compete with companies from other sectors of the economy," and expresses concern that absent sufficiently "robust" returns, investors may flee to "more attractive and less risky" alternatives. Report at 7. EEI fails to acknowledge that electric utility access to capital is enhanced because utility stocks are considered generally less risky, less volatile, and more dividend-paying than non-utility issues. Utility equities will likely continue to be considered a safer class of investment,

Under the seminal case law, a utility rate of return should be sufficient to: (1) maintain the financial integrity of the enterprise; (2) enable the company to attract new capital; and (3) provide a return to the common equity owner that is commensurate with returns on investments in other enterprises of corresponding risk. Federal Power Commission v. Hope Natural Gas Company, 320 U.S. 591, 603 (1944) ("Hope"); Bluefield Water Works and Improvement Company v. Public Service Commission of West Virginia, 262 U.S. 679, 692-93 (1923) ("Bluefield"). EEI's contentions (Report at 5) aside, investors are not guaranteed ROEs that must remain unchanged over the life of an investment. As the Supreme Court stated in Bluefield, "[a] rate of return may be reasonable at one time and become too high or too low by changes affecting opportunities for investment, the money market and business conditions generally." Bluefield, 262 U.S. at 693.

Paul E. Debbas, Value Line, Industry Analysis: Electric Utilities, available at <a href="http://www.valueline.com/Stocks/Industry\_Report.aspx?id=7239">http://www.valueline.com/Stocks/Industry\_Report.aspx?id=7239</a>. For example, electric power sector stocks outperformed overall markets in the wake of Standard & Poor's Ratings downgrade of the US government. Paul Carlsen, S&P's Government Cut Impacts Some Utility Debt But

as regulated utilities are given the opportunity to recover their costs and earn a "fair and reasonable" return on their investment.<sup>5</sup> As a result, investors are generally willing to accept a lower return on equity for utility stocks than in some other sectors, *e.g.*, tech stocks or start-ups.

- EEI further asserts (Report at 2) that the premise upon which historical transmission investments were made was "stable returns over the asset lives of the facilities." As applied to equity investments, this statement makes little sense. Investors seeking an investment with a stable return over the life of a long-lived asset such as transmission facilities usually invest in bonds, which by definition carry a stable return. Investors in stock, even the stocks of regulated companies, must accept the possibility that returns may vary over time. EEI was not heard arguing for recovery of past rather than current capital costs when ROEs were rising, and even now it is not proposing a moratorium on ROE increase filings. Its call for "stability" is therefore nothing more than a call to make rate regulation a one-way street.
- EEI repeatedly asserts that transmission investments are "extremely risky" (see EEI Report at pages 1, 7-8) and cites this as a reason for retaining high ROE awards even in the face of reduced interest rates. But rating agencies, investment analysts, investors, and some transmission owners themselves plainly do not share that view; setting aside positions taken before FERC, one will search in vain for a real-world investment document that characterizes rate base, cost-plus transmission as anything other than an exceptionally safe investment. Few businesses are as risk-free as that of selling access to essential facilities at cost-plus prices, typically through non-bypassable formula rates that, in effect, collect the allowed revenue requirement as an unavoidable tax.
- In its very subtitle, the EEI Report purports to seek "Regulatory Certainty." In fact, however, EEI is seeking to re-litigate the Statement of Policy that the Commission issued just last year. The Commission therein both reaffirmed that it will, in appropriate cases, grant transmission rate incentives to reduce the risk of transmission projects, and dialed

Sector Stocks Outperform Volatile Markets, Electric Utility Week, August 15, 2011, at 13; S. Cal. Edison Co., 131 FERC  $\P$  61,020 at P 89 (2010), reh'g denied, 137 FERC  $\P$  61,016 (2011), aff'd in part and remanded in part on other grounds, No. 11-1471, 2013 WL 1920937 (D.C. Cir. May 10, 2013).

- Value Line, What is a Defensive Stock? (April 8, 2012), *available at*<a href="http://www.valueline.com/Stocks/Commentary.aspx?id=12866">http://www.valueline.com/Stocks/Commentary.aspx?id=12866</a> (explaining that mature industries tend to fare better in contraction and noting that utilities are often deemed safe havens).
- As noted above, the Supreme Court even stated in *Bluefield* that, "[a] rate of return may be reasonable at one time and become too high or too low by changes affecting opportunities for investment, the money market and business conditions generally." *Bluefield*, 262 U.S. at 693. This is as true today as it was in 1923.
- <sup>7</sup> "Policy Statement," *Promoting Transmission Investment Through Pricing Reform*, Docket No. RM11-26-000 (Nov. 15, 2012), 141 FERC ¶ 61,129.

back its willingness to grant project-specific ROE adders to provide incentives for new investment. Now, EEI is seeking, in effect, to apply non-targeted ROE adders to the entire rate base, old and new, by raising every public utility's base ROE allowance above its current cost of capital.

- EEI proposes (Report at page 14) a set of recommendations for how the Commission can "enhance its flexibility" by either adjusting how certain DCF inputs are calculated or abandoning the DCF methodology in favor of "alternative approaches." The Commission should not entertain fixes to something that is not broken. In fact, the only thing "wrong" with the current DCF methodology is that, in combination with current economic conditions, it leads to ROE results that transmission owners and their investors wish were higher. When economic conditions change, transmission owners will seek approval of ROE increases, and will no longer argue that methodological changes are needed. Far from an anomaly, the rise and fall of ROEs in accordance with economic conditions is implicit in the FPA and case law interpreting it.
- EEI raises the specter that lower returns will skew planning, stating that "[i]f ROEs for transmission are not sufficient, a utility may choose a short-term more-local project or alternative resource solution to maintain reliability rather than choose the riskier, more strategic option that could provide additional benefits to customers and be more cost-effective." (Report at page 7.) But the risk of planning distortion runs the other way. Propping up federal transmission return allowances relative to ROE allowances set by the states would skew utility investment decisions as between transmission and other system needs, such as distribution level infrastructure additions, cleaner generation, and demand-side management, and would tilt towards inaction the cost-benefit analyses by which siting authorities and regional planners weigh whether investment in new transmission is worthwhile.
- EEI goes on to state (Report at page 7) that "without adequate returns to support investment in needed transmission, projects evaluated in [Order No. 1000] planning

Utilities will typically spend money to replace or add facilities to stay ahead of the curve and avoid problems before they occur, because reliability is a high priority. But these are still discretionary investments in the sense that they can be deferred for some period of time without risking acceptable service reliability. For example, a decision to replace underground distribution lines can be deferred. If this can be done and if the utility's shareholders can earn a higher return on transmission investments than on such deferred distribution upgrades or replacements, this is likely to cause a shift in the utility's use of its investment dollars. A higher-than-required ROE allowance on new transmission facilities could skew the incentives toward investment in such higher return projects even if investment in distribution facilities carrying a lower ROE might be optimal for overall reliability. This would not be desirable, particularly if incentives are made too readily available, and could encourage overbuilding of transmission capacity.

See, e.g., Sept. 12, 2011 Comments of Joint Signatories in *Promoting Transmission Investment Through Pricing Reform*, Docket No. RM11-26 at pp. 35, 81 and Attachment B (Statement of Jim Tracy), ¶¶ 15-19. As Mr. Tracy noted at ¶ 18 of his statement:

processes may not be undertaken because limited capital will be invested elsewhere, likely resulting in delay or absence of projects required to address congestion, to implement public policy objectives, and to bring benefits to customers." But this veiled threat to forgo investing in needed transmission facilities cannot be reconciled with the assertions of EEI and a number of its individual members that they should have exclusive rights to build new transmission facilities in their service areas so that they can satisfy their own public utility obligations to provide reliable service. On the contrary, making these conflicting assertions requires a certain degree of *chutzpah*. <sup>10</sup>

For all of these reasons, the Joint Signatories urge the Commission to continue to review the ROE allowances of Commission-regulated public utilities using the DCF methodology to ensure that they remain just and reasonable in light of current economic conditions, and to reduce them as necessary to meet the relevant legal standards.

[signatures follow]

As the Commission is well aware, public utilities have recently contested each other for primary rights to build transmission facilities in numerous states, including Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Minnesota, and Pennsylvania. *See, e.g.*, FERC Docket Nos. EL10-14, EL10-52, EL12-24, EL12-28, and EL12-69, and Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 08-ITCE-936-COC. Even more recently, EEI joined in arguing that incumbent transmission owners may safely be given primacy rights to build because "FERC will regulate the price the owner of the line may charge, limiting it to its prudently incurred costs of providing the service." *See South Carolina Public Service Authority, et al. v. FERC*, D.C. Cir. Nos. 12-1232, et al., Joint Brief of Petitioners and Supporting Intervenors Concerning Rights of First Refusal, filed May 28, 2013, at 25. While making that argument to the D.C. Circuit, EEI is simultaneously seeking to change the Commission's ROE methodology so as to systematically recover more than the actual cost of equity capital.

The D.C. Circuit has defined "chutzpah" as follows: "[C]hutzpah is a young man, convicted of murdering his parents, who argues for mercy on the ground that he is an orphan." *Harbor Ins. Co. v. Schnabel Found. Co.*, 946 F.2d 930, 937 n.5 (D.C. Cir. 1991). *See also, Marks v. Commissioner* 947 F.2d 983, 986 (D.C. Cir. 1991) (describing the court's "developing chutzpah doctrine"); *Caribbean Shippers v. Surface Transportation Board*, 145 F.3d 1344, 1365 (D.C. Cir. 1998) (same).

#### AMERICAN FOREST & PAPER ASSOCIATION

By: /s/ Jerry Schwartz

Jerry Schwartz
Senior Director, Energy and Environmental Policy
1111 19<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 463-2581
jerry schwartz@afandpa.org

#### AMERICAN PUBLIC POWER ASSOCIATION

By: /s/ Susan N. Kelly

Susan N. Kelly
Senior Vice President of Policy Analysis and General Counsel
American Public Power Association
1875 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20009-5715
(202) 467-2933
skelly@publicpower.org

### ASSOCIATED INDUSTRIES OF MASSACHUSETTS, INC.

By: /s/ Robert A. Rio

Robert A. Rio Senior Vice President and Counsel Associated Industries of Massachusetts, Inc. One Beacon Street, 16th Floor Boston, MA 02108 (617) 488-8308 rrio@aimnet.org

## ASSOCIATION OF BUSINESSES ADVOCATING TARIFF EQUITY

By: /s/ Robert A. Strong

Robert A. W. Strong Clark Hill PLC 151 S. Old Woodward Avenue, Suite 200 Birmingham, MI 48009 (248) 988-5861 rstrong@clarkhill.com

#### **BRANDYWINE CONSERVANCY**

By: /s/ Theodosia Price

Theodosia Price Senior Planner Brandywine Conservancy 1 Hoffman Mill Road Chadds Ford, PA 19317 tprice@brandywine.org

#### CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL UTILITIES ASSOCIATION

By: /s/ C. Anthony Braun

C. Anthony Braun
Braun Blaising McLaughlin & Smith, P.C.
915 L Street, Suite 1270
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 326-5812
braun@braunlegal.com

### CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

By: /s/ Frank Lindh

Frank Lindh, General Counsel Harvey Morris Gregory Heiden California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 5138 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 703-2015 flr@cpuc.ca.gov

#### **CITIZENS UTILITY BOARD (ILLINOIS)**

By: /s/ Kristin Munsch

Kristin Munsch Director of Policy and Senior Attorney Citizens Utility Board 309 W. Washington Street, Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60606 Phone: (312) 263-4282

Phone: (312) 263-4282 Fax: (312) 263-4329

kmunsch@citizensutilityboard.org

#### CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

By: /s/ Theresa L. Mueller

Dennis J. Herrera City Attorney Theresa L. Mueller Deputy City Attorney San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4640 theresa.mueller@sfgov.org

#### **CLIMATE + ENERGY PROJECT**

By: /s/ Dorothy Barnett

Dorothy Barnett Executive Director Climate + Energy Project barnett@climateandenergy.org

### **COALITION OF MISO TRANSMISSION CUSTOMERS**

By: /s/ Robert A. Weishaar, Jr.

Robert A. Weishaar, Jr. McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 777 N. Capitol Street, NE, Suite 401 Washington, DC 20002-4292 (202) 898-5700 rweishaa@mwn.com

#### **COLORADO OFFICE OF CONSUMER COUNSEL**

By: /s/ William Levis

William Levis
Consumer Counsel
Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel
1560 Broadway, Suite 200
Denver, CO 80202
Phages (202) 804 2121

Phone: (303) 894-2121 Fax: (303) 894-2117 bill.levis@state.co.us

#### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

By: /s/ Jack Conway

katie.dykes@ct.gov

Jack Conway Attorney General of Kentucky 700 Capitol Avenue, Suite 118 Frankfort, KY 40601 (502) 696-5643

## CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

By: /s/ Katie Dykes

Katie Dykes
Deputy Commissioner
Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 424-3884
Fax: (860) 827-2806

#### CONNECTICUT OFFICE OF CONSUMER COUNSEL

### By /s/ Joseph A. Rosenthal

Elin Swanson Katz, Esq. Consumer Counsel Joseph A. Rosenthal, Esq. Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel Ten Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051-2644 Phone: (860) 827-2900

Fax: (860) 827-2929 elin.katz@ct.gov joseph.rosenthal@ct.gov

## CONNECTICUT PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY

By: /s/ Robert Luysterborghs

Robert Luysterborghs, Esq. Public Utilities Regulatory Authority 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2742

Fax: (860) 827-2613

robert.luysterborghs@po.state.ct.us

#### **CONSERVATION LAW FOUNDATION**

By /s/ Seth Kaplan

Seth Kaplan
Vice President for Policy and Climate Advocacy Conservation
Law Foundation
62 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02110
skaplan@clf.org

#### **CONSUMERS UNION**

By: /s/ Shannon Baker-Branstetter

Shannon Baker-Branstetter
Policy Counsel, Energy and Environment
Consumers Union, Policy and Action from Consumer Reports
1101 17th Street, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 462-6262

#### DELAWARE DIVISION OF THE PUBLIC ADVOCATE

By: /s/ Ruth Ann Price

Ruth Ann Price
Deputy Public Advocate
Delaware Division of the Public Advocate
(302) 577-5014
ruth.price@state.de.us

Regina A. Iorii Deputy Attorney General Delaware Department of Justice 820 N. French Street, 4th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 577-8159 regina.iorii@state.de.us

# DELAWARE MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC CORPORATION, INC.

By: /s/ Thomas L. Rudebusch

Thomas L. Rudebusch Bhaveeta K. Mody Duncan, Weinberg, Genzer & Pembroke, P.C. 1615 M Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 467-6370 tlr@dwgp.com

#### DELAWARE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

By: /s/ Robert Howatt

Robert Howatt
Executive Director
Delaware Public Service Commission
861 Silver Lake Boulevard
Dover, DE 19904
(302) 736-7500

#### **EARTHJUSTICE**

By: /s/ Abigail Dillen

Abigail Dillen
Coal Program Director
Earthjustice Northeast Office
Coal Program Director
156 William Street, Suite 800
New York, NY 10038
(212) 791-1881 Ext 8221
adillen@earthjustice.org

#### **ELECTRICITY CONSUMERS RESOURCE COUNCIL**

By: /s/ John P. Hughes

John P. Hughes Vice President, Technical Affairs Electricity Consumers Resource Council 1111 19<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 682-1390 jhughes@elcon.org

By: /s/ W. Richard Bidstrup

W. Richard Bidstrup Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 900 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 974-1500 rbidstrup@cgsh.com

### **ENE (ENVIRONMENT NORTHEAST)**

By: /s/ Daniel L. Sosland

Daniel L. Sosland President 8 Summer Street PO Box 583 Rockport, ME 04856 (207) 236-6470 dsosland@env-ne.org

# GEORGE JEPSEN, ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT

By: /s/ John S. Wright

John S. Wright Michael C. Wertheimer Assistant Attorneys General Attorney General's Office 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2620 Fax: (860) 827-2893

john.wright@po.state.ct.us

### ILLINOIS INDUSTRIAL ENERGY CONSUMERS

By: /s/ Eric Robertson

Eric Robertson Lueders Robertson and Konzen PO Box 735 1939 Delmar Avenue Granite City, IL 62040 Phone: (618) 876-8500 Fax: (618) 876-4534 erobertson@lrklaw.com

## INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR

By: /s/ A. David Stippler

A. David Stippler Utility Consumer Counselor Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor 115 W. Washington Street, Suite 1500 South Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317) 233-3232 dstippler@oucc.in.gov

#### INDUSTRIAL ENERGY CONSUMER GROUP

By: /s/ Donald J. Sipe

Donald J. Sipe Counsel to the Industrial Energy Consumer Group Preti, Flaherty, Beliveau, & Pachios PO Box 1058 45 Memorial Circle Augusta, ME 04332 Phone: (207) 623-5300

Fax: (207) 623-2914 dsipe@preti.com

#### INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO

By: /s/ Samuel C. Randazzo

Samuel C. Randazzo Frank P. Darr Counsel to Industrial Energy Users-Ohio McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC Fifth Third Center 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-4228 Phone: (614) 719-2840

Fax: (614) 469-4653 sam@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com

## MARTHA COAKLEY, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

By: /s/ Patrick J. Tarmey

Patrick J. Tarmey
Assistant Attorney General
Massachusetts Attorney General Martha Coakley
Office of Ratepayer Advocacy
One Ashburton Place
Boston, MA 02108
(617) 963-2577
patrick.tarmey@state.ma.us

#### MARYLAND OFFICE OF PEOPLE'S COUNSEL

By: /s/ Paula M. Carmody

Paula M. Carmody People's Counsel for State of Maryland Maryland Office of People's Counsel 6 St. Paul Street, Suite 2102 Baltimore, MD 21202 (410) 767-8150 paulac@opc.state.md.us

#### MARYLAND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

By: /s/ Miles H. Mitchell

Miles H. Mitchell Deputy General Counsel Maryland Public Service Commission 6 St. Paul Street Baltimore, MD 21202 (410) 767-8038 mmitchell@psc.state.md.us

## MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

By: /s/ Thomas E. Bessette

Thomas E. Bessette
Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities
Division of Regional and Federal Affairs
One South Station, Second Floor
Boston, MA 02110

Phone: (617) 305-3629 Fax: (617) 345-9103

thomas.bessette@state.ma.us

## MASSACHUSETTS MUNICIPAL WHOLESALE ELECTRIC COMPANY

By: /s/ Ronald C. DeCurzio

Ronald C. DeCurzio
Chief Executive Officer
Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company
PO Box 426
Ludlow, MA 01056
(413) 308-1326
rdecurzio@mmwec.org

#### MISSOURI OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

By: /s/ Lewis R. Mills, Jr.

Lewis R. Mills, Jr. Public Counsel PO Box 2230 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Phone: (573) 751-4857 Fax: (573) 751-5562

lewis.mills@ded.mo.gov

#### MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT

By: /s/ Sean M. Neal

Sean M. Neal Duncan, Weinberg, Genzer & Pembroke, P.C. 915 L Street, Suite 1410 Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 498-0121 smn@dwgp.com

#### MONTANA CONSUMER COUNSEL

By: /s/ Robert A. Nelson

Robert A. Nelson Consumer Counsel Montana Consumer Counsel 111 N. Last Chance Gulch, Suite 1B Helena, MT 59620 (406) 444-2771 robnelson@mt.gov

# NATIONAL CONSUMER LAW CENTER (ON BEHALF OF ITS LOW-INCOME CLIENTS)

By: /s/ Charles Harak

Charles Harak Managing Attorney National Consumer Law Center 7 Winthrop Square Boston, MA 02110 (617) 542-8010 charak@nclc.org

## NATIONAL RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION

By: /s/ Richard Meyer

Richard Meyer Senior Regulatory Counsel 4301 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22203 (703) 907-5811 richard.meyer@nreca.coop

#### NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL

By: /s/ Carl Zichella

Carl Zichella Director of Western Transmission Natural Resources Defense Council czichella@nrdc.org

#### NEPOOL INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMER COALITION

By: /s/ Robert A. Weishaar, Jr.

Robert A. Weishaar, Jr. McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 777 N. Capitol Street, NE, Suite 401 Washington, DC 20002-4292 (202) 898-5700 rweishaa@mwn.com

Vasiliki Karandrikas McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC PO Box 1166 Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166 (717) 232-5274 vkarandrikas@mwn.com

Susan E. Bruce McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC PO Box 1166 Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166 (717) 232-5254 sbruce@mwn.com

## NEW ENGLAND CONFERENCE OF PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSIONERS

By: /s/ Harvey L. Reiter

Harvey L. Reiter, Esq. Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP 1150 18th Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036-3845 (202) 785-9100 hreiter@stinsonmoheck.com

Sarah Hofmann, Executive Director 50 State Street, Suite 1 Montpelier, VT 05602 (802) 522-4068 shofmannnecpuc@gmail.com

#### NEW HAMPSHIRE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE

By: /s/ Stephen E. Kaminski

Stephen E. Kaminski Vice President, Power Resources and Access New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Inc. 579 Tenney Mountain Highway Plymouth, NH 03264-3154 (603) 536-8655 kaminskis@nhec.com

#### NEW JERSEY DIVISION OF RATE COUNSEL

By: /s/ Felicia Thomas-Friel, Esq.

Felicia Thomas-Friel, Esq. Deputy Rate Counsel Division of Rate Counsel 140 East Front Street, 4th Floor PO Box 003 Trenton, NJ 08625 (609) 984-1460

#### NEW YORK ASSOCIATION OF PUBLIC POWER

By: /s/ Thomas L. Rudebusch

Jeffrey C. Genzer
Thomas L. Rudebusch
Duncan, Weinberg, Genzer & Pembroke, P.C.
1615 M Street, NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 467-6370
tlr@dwgp.com

#### NH OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE

By: /s/ Rorie E. P. Hollenberg

Rorie E. P. Hollenberg, Assist. Consumer Advocate NH Office of the Consumer Advocate 21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 18 Concord, NH 03301 (603) 271-1173 rorie.hollenberg@oca.nh.gov

#### NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY

By: /s/ Lisa G. Dowden

Lisa G. Dowden Spiegel & McDiarmid 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036 (202) 879-2046 lisa.dowden@spiegelmcd.com

# OFFICE OF THE NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL BUREAU OF CONSUMER PROTECTION

By: /s/ Eric P. Witkoski

Eric P. Witkoski
Consumer Advocate and Chief Deputy Attorney General
Bureau of Consumer Protection
10791 West Twain Avenue, Suite 100
Las Vegas, NV 89135
(702) 486-3129
ewitkoski@ag.nv.gov

## OFFICE OF THE PEOPLE'S COUNSEL FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

By: /s/ Sandra Mattavous-Frye

Sandra Mattavous-Frye
People's Counsel
Office of the People's Counsel for the District of Columbia
1133 15th Street, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 727-3071
smfrye@opc-dc.gov

#### **ORGANIZATION OF MISO STATES**

By: /s/ William H. Smith, Jr.

William H. Smith, Jr.
Executive Director, Organization of MISO States
100 Court Avenue, Suite 315
Des Moines, IA 50309
(515) 243-0742
bill@misostates.org

#### PENNSYLVANIA LAND TRUST ASSOCIATION

By: /s/ Andrew M. Loza.

Andrew M. Loza, Executive Director Pennsylvania Land Trust Association aloza@conserveland.org

### PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE OF CONSUMER ADVOCATE

By: /s/ Darryl Lawrence

Darryl Lawrence Assistant Consumer Advocate 555 Walnut Street 5th Floor, Forum Place Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923 (717) 783-5048 dlawrence@paoca.org

### PJM INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMER COALITION

By: /s/ Robert A. Weishaar, Jr.

Robert A. Weishaar, Jr. McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 777 N. Capitol Street, NE, Suite 401 Washington, DC 20002-4292 (202) 898-5700 rweishaa@mwn.com

#### POWEROPTIONS, INC.

By: /s/ Cynthia Arcate

Cynthia Arcate
President & CEO
PowerOptions, Inc.
129 South Street, 5th Floor
Boston, MA 02111
(617) 428-4258
carcate@poweroptions.org

#### **PUBLIC POWER COUNCIL**

By: /s/ Nancy Baker

Nancy Baker Senior Policy Analyst Public Power Council 825 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 1225 Portland, OR 97232 Phone: (503) 595-9770

Fax: (503) 239-5959 nbaker@ppcpdx.org

## RHODE ISLAND DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES AND CARRIERS

By: /s/ Leo J. Wold

Leo J. Wold Assistant Attorney General 150 South Main Street Providence, RI 02903

Phone: (401) 274-4400, ext. 2218

Fax: (401) 222-3016 lwold@riag.ri.gov

#### SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT

By: /s/ Harvey L. Reiter

Harvey L. Reiter, Esq. Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP 1150 18<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036-3845 (202) 785-9100 hreiter@stinson.com

By: /s/ Laura Lewis

Laura Lewis
Andrew Meditz
Sacramento Municipal Utility District
6201 S Street
Sacramento, CA 95817
(916) 732-6123
llewis@smud.org
ameditz@smud.org

### SIERRA CLUB'S BEYOND COAL CAMPAIGN

By: \_/s/ Mark Kresowik

Mark Kresowik
Deputy Director, Eastern Region, Beyond Coal Campaign
Sierra Club
50 F St NW Eighth Floor
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 675-7914
mark.kresowik@sierraclub.org

### STATE OF MAINE, OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC ADVOCATE

By: /s/ Agnes Gormley

Agnes Gormley
State of Maine, Office of the Public Advocate
112 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333-0112
(207) 287-2445
agnes.gormley@maine.gov

#### THE SUSTAINABLE FERC PROJECT

By: /s/ Allison Clements

Allison Clements
John Moore
The Sustainable FERC Project
NRDC
40 W. 20th Street
NYC, NY 10011
(212) 727-4473
clements.fercproject@gmail.com

#### TRANSMISSION ACCESS POLICY STUDY GROUP

By: /s/ Cynthia S. Bogorad

Cynthia S. Bogorad Spiegel & McDiarmid 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036 (202) 879-4000 cynthia.bogorad@spiegelmcd.com

#### TRANSMISSION AGENCY OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

By: /s/ Bryan W. Griess

Bryan W. Griess General Manager Transmission Agency of Northern California PO Box 15129 Sacramento, CA 95851-0129 (916) 852-1673 bgriess@tanc.us

#### TRANSMISSION DEPENDENT UTILITY SYSTEMS

By: /s/ Sean T. Beeny

Sean T. Beeny Phyllis G. Kimmel Miller, Balis & O'Neil, P.C. 1015 Fifteenth Street, NW Twelfth Floor Washington, DC 20005 (202) 296-2960 sbeeny@mbolaw.com pkimmel@mbolaw.com

### VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE

By: /s/ Harvey L. Reiter, Esq.

Harvey L. Reiter, Esq. Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP 1150 18th Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036-3845 (202) 785-9100

## VIRGINIA DIVISION OF CONSUMER COUNSEL

By: \_/s/ C. Meade Browder, Jr.

C. Meade Browder, Jr.
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Division of Consumer Counsel
Office of the Attorney General of Virginia
900 East Main Street
Richmond, VA 23219
(804) 786-2071
mbrowder@oag.state.va.us

#### THE WILDERNESS SOCIETY

By: /s/ Chase Huntley

Chase Huntley Senior Director, Energy Government Relations Group The Wilderness Society chase\_huntley@tws.org

#### WISCONSIN INDUSTRIAL ENERGY GROUP

By: /s/ Todd Stuart

Todd Stuart, Executive Director 10 East Doty Street, Suite 800 Madison, WI 53703 (608) 441-5740 tstuart@wieg.org

By: /s/ Kavita Maini

Kavita Maini KM Energy Consulting, LLC 961 North Lost Woods Road Oconomowoc, WI 53066 (262) 391-8741 kmaini@wi.rr.com

#### WISCONSIN PAPER COUNCIL

By: /s/ Earl Gustafson

Earl Gustafson VP - Energy, Forestry & HR Wisconsin Paper Council 5485 Grande Market Drive, Suite B Appleton, WI 54913 (920) 574-3752 gustafson@wipapercouncil.org